IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35842

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 575
Plaintiff-Respondent,	Filed: August 20, 2009
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
ADAM STUART MADDOCKS,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bingham County. Hon. Darren B. Simpson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of eighteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years, for sexual battery of a minor, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, PERRY, Judge

and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

Adam Stuart Maddocks was convicted of sexual battery of a minor, Idaho Code § 18-1508A(1)(c). The district court imposed a unified sentence of eighteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years. Maddocks appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Maddocks' judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.